Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/524,891
 ASSAL ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 CHRIS C. CHU
 2815

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):
(1) <u>CHRIS C. CHU (USPTO)</u> .	(3) Michael Weihberg (applicant's representative).
(2) Scott W. Cummings (applicant's representative).	(4)
Date of Interview: 21 January 2009.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: 1.	
Identification of prior art discussed: Kodama et al. (U. S. F	Pat. No. 6,686,658).
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\!$	g) was not reached. h) N/A.
invention. These proposed amendments appear to overce and/or search. As such the proposed amendment would upon filling of an RCE. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER	posed amendments further defining elements of the claimed once the prior-art but would require further consideration not be entered after-final, but would be fully considered diments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims dt.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE e last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THE ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM THERY LEVEN IS LATER, TO
/Chris C. Chu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815	